

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Schoo	1	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	65.0	59.2	52.8	61.0	63.9	64.7	
Passed 2 subtests	18.6	16.0	24.6	19.6	18.1	19.6	
Passed 1 subtest	11.8	18.3	11.7	11.6	11.3	9.4	
Passed no subtests	4.5	6.6	10.1	7.9	6.7	5.8	

PERFORMANCE BY 5	TUDENT	GROUPS					
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Of a lands	n 107	%	n	%	n 001	% cs. s	
All Students	197	92.4	245	8.2	261	65.5	
Gender	05	04.4	404	F 0	440	50.4	
Male	85	94.1	101	5.0	113	58.4	
Female	112	91.1	144	10.4	148	70.9	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	123	87.8	173	1.2	191	57.6	
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	0	N/A	
White	68	100.0	69	24.6	68	85.3	
Other	3	I/S	2	I/S	2	I/S	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	11	90.9	28	0.0	33	33.3	
Students without disabilities	186	92.5	217	9.2	228	70.2	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	13	92.3	245	8.2	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	184	92.4	245	8.2	261	65.5	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	61	85.2	114	0.9	125	60.0	
Full-pay meals	123	95.9	131	14.5	136	70.6	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cald	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	8.2	8.8
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	8.2	9.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	41.2	42.1

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Wilson Senior High		
		2101006

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,250)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	11.2% 95.6%	Down from 16.6% Up from 95.5%	8.2% 95.6%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	6.9% 10.9%	Up from 6.0% Up from 10.4%	4.4% 13.0%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	16.8% 3.4%	Down from 17.4% Down from 3.8%	12.2% 2.9%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	9.5% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	5.8%	Down from 6.1%	3.3%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	3.4%	Down from 5.4%	6.5%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 727	Up from 620	312	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	44.3%	Down from 66.1%	28.2%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	55.5%	Down from 58.8%	73.7%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	99.5%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 77)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.5%	Up from 50.6%	47.3%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	81.8%	Up from 75.3%	82.6%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 87.5%	85.5%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6% \$39,919	Up from 94.0% Down 3.8%	95.4% \$40,066	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	10.8 days	Up from 7.5 days	9.6 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	29.0 29.5 to 1	Up from 28.0 N/R	3.5 24.2 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.3% \$5,694	Up from 87.9% Up 12.7%	89.7% \$6,647	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	61.0%	No change	57.1%	57.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% yes	No change N/A	96.7% yes	87.8% yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Wilson Senior High 2101006

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

As we reflect on the 2002-2003 school year at Wilson High School, one cannot help but express to our school community a deep appreciation for its support and a most earnest commendation for a job well done. Faced with the threat of losing 161 teaching positions district-wide, 17 of them at Wilson, no allocation of instructional monies for the second semester, loss of security guards, and the threat of losing custodial, clerical, food service, and classroom assistants, Wilson High School's teachers, support staff, students, and parents survived with a class effort. Strategies for the preservation of safety, effective teaching, and learning, as well as the passage of a May 6th referendum were implemented and the objectives were successfully accomplished.

In spite of the pre-occupation with the above, the following school accomplishments were made.

One Gates Millennium Finalist was named.

One National Merit Finalist and three National Merit Scholar Semifinalists were

More than seventy seniors qualified as Life Scholarship recipients.

Eight students were named Palmetto Fellows.

Wilson High School placed students who scored at and above the 85th percentile on the National German Examination.

Eight students made the South Carolina Regional Band.

Tiger Production received a Gold (Superior) rating at the Heritage Choral Festival in San Francisco and placed 2nd overall.

The Girls' Tennis Team won the school's first region championship in tennis.

The Girls' Volleyball Team and Girls' Softball Team made their first appearance in the history of the school at the state playoffs.

The Varsity Girls' and Boys' Basketball Teams were Region Champions.

The Varsity Football Team advanced to the third round of the State Playoffs.

The Boys' Soccer Team went to its first playoff game in the history of the school. One hundred and eighteen members of the senior class registered to vote and helped to pass the millage referendum.

Thank you all for your support of public education. Allie E. Brooks, Jr. Principal, Wilson High School

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
	Teachers	Students	Parents		
Number of surveys returned	53	156	30		
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.5%	80.0%	83.3%		
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.6%	81.3%	73.3%		
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	53.8%	81.0%	70.0%		

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.